Pilar C. French, OSB No. 962880 frenchp@lanepowell.com **LANE POWELL PC** 601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100 Portland, Oregon 97204-3158

Portland, Oregon 97204-3158 Telephone: 503.778.2100 Facsimile: 503.778.2200

Attorneys for Defendants Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. and U.S. Bank

National Association

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

In re	
Donald Eugene McCoy, III,	Case No. 10-63814-fra13
Debtor. ) )	DEFENDANTS MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. AND U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
Donald E. McCoy,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
BNC Mortgage, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Northwest Trustee Services, Inc., a Washington corporation, U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for the Structured Asset Investment Loan Trust, 2005- 10, AIG Federal Savings Bank, Finance America, LLC, Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., Impact One Mortgage Services,	Adv. Proc. No. 10-06224-fra
Defendants.	

PAGE 1 - MERS' AND U.S. BANK'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

For their answer to plaintiff's Complaint, defendants Mortgage Electronic Registration

Systems, Inc. ("MERS") and U.S. Bank National Association ("U.S. Bank") (collectively

"defendants"), admit, deny, and answer as follows:

**FACTS** 

1. In answering paragraph 1 of the Complaint, defendants admit that U.S. Bank is a

national association and trustee for the Structured Asset Investment Loan Trust, 2005-10. In

further answering paragraph 1 of the Complaint, defendants admit that MERS is a Delaware

corporation, its principal place of business is located in the Commonwealth of Virginia. In

further answering paragraph 1 of the Complaint, defendants admit that Northwest Trustee

Services, Inc., Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. (before it filed for bankruptcy), BNC Mortgage,

Inc., AIG Federal Savings Bank, Finance America, LLC (before it went out of business), and

Impact One Mortgage Services are foreign entities. In further answering paragraph 1 of the

Complaint, defendants lack sufficient information to know the truth or falsity regarding whether

plaintiff is a resident of Jackson County, and therefore denies the same. Except as expressly

admitted, defendants deny all other allegations contained in paragraph 1.

2. In answering paragraph 2 of the Complaint, defendants lack sufficient information

to know the truth or falsity regarding whether plaintiff received a loan from BNC Mortgage, Inc.

in September 2005 and therefore denies the same. In further answering paragraph 2 of the

Complaint, defendants admit that a Deed of Trust was recorded in Jackson County, Oregon,

under Recording No. 2005-060123, and that such document speaks for itself. In further

answering paragraph 2 of the Complaint, defendants admit that plaintiff has attached as exhibits

to the Complaint a Note and Rider related to property located at 2969 Sunnyvale Drive, Central

Point, Oregon 97502 (the "Subject Property"), and that such documents speak for themselves.

Except as expressly admitted, defendants deny all other allegations contained in paragraph 2.

3. In answering paragraph 3 of the Complaint, defendants admit that plaintiff

executed a Deed of Trust, pursuant to which plaintiff agreed that MERS would serve as the

PAGE 2 - MERS' AND U.S. BANK'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

beneficiary for the lender, its successor and assigns in the trust deed at issue in this case. Except

as expressly admitted, defendants deny all other allegations contained in paragraph 3.

4. Defendants lack sufficient information to know the truth or falsity of the

allegation contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.

5. In answering paragraph 5 of the Complaint, defendants admit that plaintiff

executed a Deed of Trust, pursuant to which plaintiff agreed that MERS would serve as the

beneficiary for the lender, its successor and assigns in the trust deed at issue in this case. In

further answering paragraph 5 of the Complaint, defendants admit that MERS is not mentioned

in the Note, and holds no interest in the Note to transfer. Further answering, plaintiff agreed that

MERS would be the Beneficiary as nominee for the lender, its successors or assigns in the trust

deed. Except as expressly admitted, defendants deny all other allegations contained in

paragraph 5.

6. In answering paragraph 6 of the Complaint, defendants admit that Structured

Asset Investment Loan Trust Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-10 were issued in

2005. Except as expressly admitted, defendants deny all other allegations contained in

paragraph 6.

7. Defendants deny paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

8. In answering paragraph 8 of the Complaint, defendants admit that an

Appointment of Successor Trustee was recorded in Jackson County, Oregon, under Recording

No. 2007-043370, and that such document speaks for itself. In further answering paragraph 8 of

the Complaint, defendants admit that an Assignment of Deed of Trust was recorded in Jackson

County, Oregon, under Recording No. 2007-043371, and that such document speaks for itself.

In further answering paragraph 8 of the Complaint, defendants admit that a Notice of Default and

Election to Sell was recorded in Jackson County, Oregon, under Recording No. 2007-043372,

and that such document speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted, defendants deny all

other allegations contained in paragraph 8.

PAGE 3 - MERS' AND U.S. BANK'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

9. In answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendants admit that a Rescission of

Notice of Default was recorded in Jackson County, Oregon, under Recording No. 2008-004617,

and that such document speaks for itself. In further answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint,

Defendants admit that a Notice of Default and Election to Sell was recorded in Jackson County,

Oregon, under Recording No. 2008-004618, and that such document speaks for itself. In further

answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendants admit that an Affidavit of Mailing,

Trustee's Notice of Sale, Proof of Service, and Affidavit of Publication was recorded in Jackson

County, Oregon, under Recording No. 2008-020565, and that such document speaks for itself.

In further answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendants admit that an Amended Affidavit

of Mailing-Trustee's Notice of Sale and Amended Trustee's Notice of Sale, was recorded in

Jackson County, Oregon, under Recording No. 2009-009441, and that such document speaks for

itself. In further answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendants admit that an Assignment

of Deed of Trust was recorded in Jackson County, Oregon, under Recording No. 2009-034208,

and that such document speaks for itself. In further answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint,

defendants admit that a Rescission of Notice of Default was recorded in Jackson County,

Oregon, under Recording No. 2009-041106, and that such document speaks for itself. In further

answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendants admit that a Notice of Default and Election

to Sell was recorded in Jackson County, Oregon, under Recording No. 2009-041107, and that

such document speaks for itself. In further answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendants

admit that an Affidavit of Mailing-Notice of Foreclosure, Notice of Foreclosure, Affidavit of

Compliance—SB 628, Affidavit of Mailing—Trustee's Notice of Sale, Trustee's Notice of Sale,

Proof of Service, and Affidavit of Publication was recorded in Jackson County, Oregon, under

Recording No. 2010-005480, and that such document speaks for itself. In further answering

paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendants admit that an Amended Affidavit of Mailing-

Trustee's Notice of Sale and Amended Trustee's Notice of Sale was recorded in Jackson County,

Oregon, under Recording No. 2010-016855, and that such document speaks for itself. In further

PAGE 4 - MERS' AND U.S. BANK'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendants admit that an Amended Affidavit of Mailing

and Copy of the Amended Trustee's Notice of Sale was recorded in Jackson County, Oregon,

under Recording No. 2010-019180, and that such document speaks for itself. Except as

expressly admitted, defendants deny all other allegations contained in paragraph 9.

**CLAIMS FOR RELIEF** 

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Wrongful Foreclosure)

10. In answering paragraph 10, defendants incorporate and reallege their answers to

paragraphs 1 through 9.

11. In answering paragraph 11 of the Complaint, defendants admit that ORS 86.735.

ORS 86.740, and ORS 86.755 speak for themselves. In further answering paragraph 11 of the

Complaint, defendants state that plaintiff has alleged legal conclusions that are not subject to

admission or denial. In further answering paragraph 11, to the extent plaintiff has alleged any

facts that require response, defendants deny the same.

12. In answering paragraph 12 of the Complaint, defendants deny that foreclosure on

the Subject Property, pursuant to the Deed of Trust, is defective. In further answering

paragraph 12, defendants admit that MERS is not mentioned in the Note and holds no interest in

the Note to transfer. In further answering paragraph 12 of the Complaint, defendants deny that

MERS is required to register with the Secretary of State of Oregon in order to perform

foreclosure-related activities in the state of Oregon. Except as expressly admitted, defendants

deny all other allegations contained in paragraph 12.

13. In answering paragraph 13 of the Complaint, defendants admit that U.S. Bank, as

Trustee for the Structured Asset Investment Loan Trust, 2005-10, has authority to foreclose on

the Subject Property. Except as expressly admitted, defendants deny all other allegations

contained in paragraph 13.

PAGE 5 - MERS' AND U.S. BANK'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

LANE POWELL PC 601 SW SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204-3158 503 778 2100 FAX: 503 778 2200

14. In answering paragraph 14 of the Complaint, defendants state that by virtue of the

Deed of Trust and Oregon's foreclosure statutes, they have authority to foreclose on the Subject

Property and elect to sell the Subject Property. Except as expressly admitted, defendants deny

all other allegations contained in paragraph 14.

15. Defendants deny paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

**CLAIMS FOR RELIEF** 

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Quiet Title)

16. In answering paragraph 16 of the Complaint, defendants incorporate and reallege

their answer to paragraph 10.

17. In answering paragraphs 17 through 20 of the Complaint, defendants state that

plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief, Quiet Title, has been dismissed by the Court and therefore,

no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, defendants deny all allegations

contained in paragraphs 17 through 20.

18. In answering the "Wherefore" clause on page 10 of the Complaint, defendants

assert that no answer is required to this section. To the extent an answer is required, defendants

deny the same and deny that plaintiff is entitled to any of the requested relief.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

19. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be

granted.

20. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate his purported damages, if any, and therefore cannot

recover against defendants.

21. Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of mistake, inadvertence, and

excusable neglect.

22. Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of estoppel, unclean hands, laches

and/or waiver.

PAGE 6 - MERS' AND U.S. BANK'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

23. Plaintiff's claim is barred because plaintiff expressly consented to the loan he

entered into, thereby assuming the risks and benefits of the loan and all terms and conditions he

knew, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence should have, known.

24. Plaintiff's claim is barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.

25. Plaintiff's alleged damages, if any, were caused, or are attributable to, plaintiff's

own acts or omissions, or the acts or omissions of person or entities other than defendants.

26. Defendants assert the defenses of good faith, due care, and failure to directly, or

indirectly, induce the alleged act, or acts, constituting the alleged violation or cause of action.

27. Plaintiff's claims and damages, if any, are barred by ORS 86.705 et seq., the

"Oregon Deed of Trust Act."

28. This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's claim for declaratory

relief because it asks the Court to render an advisory opinion.

29. On information and belief, plaintiff lacks standing to assert any claims for relief.

30. Plaintiff's failure to tender the default owed on the Deed of Trust and loan bars

plaintiff's request for rescission of the trustee's deed and for declaratory relief.

**RESERVATION OF RIGHTS** 

Defendants specifically reserve the right to amend their answer to add defenses and

affirmative defenses; to adopt any and all affirmative defenses raised by any other defendants

later added to this suit, if any; to add counterclaims and third-party defendants; and to argue legal

theories in addition to, or in lieu of, those specifically identified herein, as the facts in this matter

may warrant, including without limitation, additional or further facts hereafter disclosed through

discovery.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, having answered plaintiff's Complaint, and having set forth defendants'

affirmative defenses, defendants pray for relief as follows:

PAGE 7 - MERS' AND U.S. BANK'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. For dismissal of plaintiff's Complaint in full and with prejudice;
- 2. For all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney fees incurred in defending this action; and
  - For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable. 3.

DATED: April 13, 2011

LANE POWELL PC

By <u>/s/ Pilar C. French</u> Pilar C. French, OSB No. 962880 Attorneys for Defendants Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association

PAGE 8 - MERS' AND U.S. BANK'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 13, 2011, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANTS MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. AND U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** on the following person(s) in the manner indicated below at the following address(es):

JOHN O CAMPBELL ocampbell@houser-law.com; chershey@houser-law.com
 JOHN M THOMAS jthomas@rcolegal.com
 JAMES J. STOUT jjstout1@aol.com
 by CM/ECF
 by Electronic Mail
 by Facsimile Transmission
 by First Class Mail
 by Hand Delivery
 by Overnight Delivery

/s/ Pilar C. French

Pilar C. French

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE